

The Relationship Between Neurocognitive Function and Noncontact Anterior Cruciate Ligament Injuries

Charles Buz Swanik,^{*†} PhD, Tracey Covassin,[‡] PhD, David J. Stearne,[§] PhD, and Philip Schatz,^{||} PhD

From the [†]Department of Health, Nutrition & Exercise Science, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware, [‡]Department of Kinesiology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan, [§]Department of Kinesiology, West Chester University, West Chester, Pennsylvania, and the ^{||}Department of Psychology, Saint Joseph's University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Background: Biomechanical analyses suggest that the loss of neuromuscular control is associated with noncontact anterior cruciate ligament sprains; however, previous research has not explored the link between neurocognitive function and unintentional knee injuries.

Purpose: To determine if athletes who suffer a noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injury demonstrate decreased baseline neurocognitive performance when compared with matched controls.

Study Design: Case control study; Level of evidence, 3.

Methods: The baseline scores from a computerized neurocognitive test battery (ImPACT) were analyzed to compare verbal memory, visual memory, processing speed, and reaction time. Eighty intercollegiate athletes who, subsequent to testing, experienced noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries, were matched with 80 controls based on height, weight, age, gender, sport, position, and years of experience at the collegiate level.

Results: Statistical differences were found between the noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injury group and the matched controls on all 4 neurocognitive subtests. Noncontact anterior cruciate ligament-injured athletes demonstrated significantly slower reaction time ($F_{1,158} = 9.66, P = .002$) and processing speed ($F_{1,158} = 12.04, P = .001$) and performed worse on visual ($F_{1,158} = 19.16, P = .000$) and verbal memory ($F_{1,158} = 4.08, P = .045$) composite scores when compared with controls.

Conclusion: Neurocognitive differences may be associated with the loss of neuromuscular control and coordination errors, predisposing certain intercollegiate athletes to noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries.

Keywords: neuropsychological functioning; ImPACT; knee; neuromuscular

Biomechanical and neuromuscular characteristics are currently the primary focus of research on noncontact knee injury mechanisms because these risk factors are modifiable.^{20,22} Although these characteristics may predispose individuals to noncontact injuries, the underlying neurocognitive influences that play a role in determining

motor behavior have not been investigated in this context. No previous study has attempted to link brain function with noncontact injuries, such as an anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) tear.

It has been reported that between 72% and 95%^{6,45} of ACL injuries occur through noncontact mechanisms, without player-to-player contact.^{16,49} A noncontact ACL (NCAACL) injury typically occurs shortly after foot strike when an athlete is decelerating, pivoting, or landing^{6,16,31,47,49} and where ground-reaction forces typically exceed 5 times the person's body weight.⁴² Successfully negotiating these high joint loads requires complex neuromuscular control strategies for dynamic joint stabilization.^{12,28,41,55,56}

Neuromuscular control is influenced by sensory information from proprioceptive, kinesthetic, visual, and vestibular sources, as well as cortical and spinal motor

*Address correspondence to Charles Buz Swanik, PhD, ATC, University of Delaware, Department of Health, Nutrition & Exercise Science, Biomechanics and Movement Sciences Program, 151 Human Performance Laboratory, 542 South College, Newark, DE 19716 (e-mail: cswanik@udel.edu).

No potential conflict of interest declared.

commands.^{19,30} Maintaining dynamic restraint during complicated, high-velocity movements such as athletics is contingent on both cortically programmed muscle pre-activation and reflex-mediated contractions. The cerebral cortex relies on feed-forward processing to initiate a motor program for coordinated execution of the desired task.¹⁸ The feedback mechanism then regulates reflexive motor responses to unanticipated events after ground contact. Although many peripheral and segmental reflexive pathways exist, ultimately the cerebral cortex is responsible for planning and regulating all of these motor control processes.^{5,17}

Neurocognitive tasks, such as those measuring reaction time, processing speed, visual memory, and verbal memory, are well established in the neuropsychology literature as indirect measures of cerebral performance. Situational awareness, arousal, and attentional resources of the individual may influence these areas of neurocognitive function, affecting the complex integration of vestibular, visual,¹¹ and somatosensory information needed for neuromuscular control.^{3,8,39,40,44}

Throughout the past decade, neurocognitive testing has become a standard, objective means for assessing subtle changes in cerebral and cortical function associated with concussions and can assist with return-to-play decisions.³⁷ The Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing (ImPACT) software (NeuroHealth Systems, Pittsburgh, Pa)^{35,51} has been shown to be a reliable method⁵² for examining deficits in reaction time, processing speed, working memory, and attention and concentration. Although neurocognitive changes have traditionally been related to mild traumatic brain injury, deficits in cortically driven reaction time, processing speed, and visual and verbal memory may also indicate diminished capacity for neuromuscular control and thereby predisposition to noncontact injury. To date, previous research has linked cerebral performance with motor control or related motor control strategies and injury, but no studies have investigated the relationship between neurocognitive function and NCACL injuries. By considering such an alternative application for neurocognitive tests, this inquiry may provide insight into cerebral mechanisms of neuromuscular control and injury prevention, adding to current research attempting to link neurocognitive function with cortical mechanisms controlling the motor program. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine if preseason (baseline) neurocognitive performance was lower in athletes who later went on to suffer an NCACL injury.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Research Design

A case-control design was used to compare neurocognitive test scores and NCACL injuries. The independent variable was the injury status (injured or noninjured). The dependent variables were obtained during preseason baseline

TABLE 1
Frequency Distribution of NCACL-Injured
Subjects by Sport (n = 80)^a

Team	No. of Patients
Women's soccer	16
Football	12
Women's lacrosse	5
Women's basketball	9
Women's volleyball	5
Men's soccer	8
Men's basketball	6
Men's lacrosse	6
Field hockey	3
Women's gymnastics	5
Wrestling	3
Fencing	1
Softball	1

^aNCACL, noncontact anterior cruciate ligament.

TABLE 2
Matching of NCACL and Control Groups^a

Variable	NCACL, Mean ± SD	Control, Mean ± SD	P Value
Height, cm	68.82 ± 4.18	68.80 ± 4.52	.971
Weight, kg	159.93 ± 34.44	166.01 ± 37.38	.248
Collegiate experience, y	1.21 ± 1.34	1.18 ± 2.27	.508

^aNCACL, noncontact anterior cruciate ligament.

neuropsychological test scores on verbal memory, visual memory, processing speed, and reaction time.

Subjects

One hundred sixty athletes (80 NCACL, 80 control) from 18 universities participated in this study. All of the athletes selected for this study were practicing and competing in the 2001-2002, 2002-2003, and 2003-2004 academic seasons. The injured group consisted of athletes representing Division I (n = 38), Division II (n = 27), Division III (n = 7), NAIA (n = 6), and NCCAA (n = 2) institutions and competed in various sports (Table 1). All NCACL injuries were diagnosed by a team physician, and a complete ACL tear was confirmed during reconstructive surgery. Athletes who sustained a direct blow as a component of the mechanism of injury and those reporting a previous knee sprain or head injury were excluded from the study. Of the 80 NCACL-injured athletes, 45 were women (age, 20.6 ± 1.7 years; weight, 65.6 ± 9.4 kg; height, 168.8 ± 8.8 cm), and 35 were men (age, 20.8 ± 1.1 years; weight, 88.4 ± 16.1 kg; height, 182.6 ± 9.7 cm).

Potential control subjects were first sorted based on the matching criteria of height, weight, age, gender, sport, position, and years of experience at the collegiate level (Table 2) from the larger database of athletes including all 18

TABLE 3
Neuropsychological Test Score for NCACL and Control Groups (n = 160)^a

Neurocognitive Test	Mean ± SD	F Test (score)	P Value	Effect Size	95% CI	
				Cohen <i>d</i>	Lower	Upper
Verbal memory 77, 79						
NCACL	.84 ± .08	4.08	.045 ^b	-.47	.83	.86
Control	.88 ± .09				.85	.89
Visual memory 66						
NCACL	.72 ± .12	19.16	.00 ^c	-.77	.70	.76
Control	.82 ± .14				.79	.85
Processing speed 33						
NCACL	36.9 ± 6.6	12.04	.001 ^c	-.55	35.3	38.6
Control	41.0 ± 8.2				39.4	42.7
Reaction time (ms) .65						
NCACL	.57 ± .07	9.66	.002 ^c	.46	.55	.59
Control	.53 ± .10				.51	.55

^aNCACL, noncontact anterior cruciate ligament; CI, confidence interval; ms, milliseconds.

^bSignificant at the .05 level.

^cSignificant at the .01 level.

schools. A random numbers list was then used to identify a subset of 80 for comparison.

Instrumentation

ImPACT version 2.0²³ was used for the study and administered in a private office or restricted-access laboratory. The ImPACT instrument is a computer-based program used to assess neurocognitive function and concussion symptoms. The software program consists of 6 neurocognitive tests that evaluate word recognition, design memory, visual processing and memory, symbol matching (Xs and Os), color matching, and working memory/visual response speed. These 6 neurocognitive tests yield 4 “composite” scores in the areas of verbal memory, visual memory, reaction time, and processing speed.^{23,26}

The verbal memory composite score represents the average percentage correct, for example, out of 100%, for the word recognition paradigm, the symbol number match task, and the letter memory task (with an accompanying interference task). The visual memory composite score is composed of the average percentage correct, for example, out of 100%, for 2 tasks: the design memory task (which requires the discrimination of a series of abstract line drawings) and the memory task, which requires the identification of a series of illuminated Xs or Os after an intervening task (mouse clicking a number sequence from 25 to 1). The first test taps immediate and delayed memory for visual designs, and the second test measures short-term spatial memory (with an interference task). The reaction time composite score represents the average response time (in milliseconds) on a choice reaction time (go/no-go) task and the previously mentioned symbol match task (which is similar to a traditional digit symbol task). The processing speed composite represents the weighted average (in seconds) of the 3 tasks (Xs and Os, symbol match, color match) that are done as interference tasks for the memory paradigms. ImPACT employs random variation of stimuli, which affords alternate forms to reduce practice effects

traditionally seen in repeated measures administration. Each of the content areas contains practice trials that require the user to demonstrate understanding of the instructions and proficiency with the goal of the task.³⁵

Test-retest reliability for ImPACT was assessed for 8 days, across 4 administrations, yielding correlation coefficients ranging from 0.66 to 0.85 for the verbal memory index, 0.75 to 0.88 for the processing speed index, and 0.62 to 0.66 for the reaction time index.²⁷ Using reliable change indices, repeated administrations for a 2-week period revealed no practice effects.²⁴ In another study, 1-week test-retest reliability coefficients were as follows: 0.70 for verbal memory; 0.67 for visual memory; 0.79 for reaction time; and 0.86 for processing speed, with significant test-retest differences for only the processing speed composite scores³⁶ within subject comparisons. Criterion-related validity of ImPACT was demonstrated through the ability to separate injured from age-matched noninjured control subjects who suffered even mild concussions.²⁴ Concurrent validation of ImPACT revealed correlations with the Symbol-Digit Modalities Test (SDMT), which ranged from 0.37 and 0.46 for visual and verbal memory indices to 0.60 and 0.70 for reaction time and processing speed indices.⁵³

Because the SDMT is believed to measure scanning and tracking aspects of attention, as well as processing speed,²⁵ these coefficients represent good convergent and divergent validity. Correlations between ImPACT visual and verbal memory composites with the Brief Visual Spatial Memory Test-Revised (BVMT-R) total score ($r = .50$) and the delayed recall score ($r = .85$) have been established⁴; the processing speed composite was shown to correlate with the Trailmaking Tests A ($r = -.49$) and B ($r = -.60$) and the SDMT ($r = .68$).

Testing Procedures

Institutional Review Board approval was obtained from each university, as well as permission for athlete participation from the head athletic trainer. Certified athletic

trainers completed an NCACL-injury tracking form identifying the number of athletes at their institution who sustained an NCACL injury during the past 3 years and indicating whether surgical confirmation of a complete tear was achieved. The study design was explained to all potential subjects, and each individual signed a consent form. The preseason baseline ImpACT test scores, for either the fall or spring before the NCACL injury, were then used for analysis.

Data Analysis

The ImpACT yields scores for verbal and visual memory, processing speed, and reaction time. Higher scores on processing speed and verbal and visual memory indicate a better performance. A faster score on reaction time indicates better performance.

A 1-way analysis of variance was conducted to analyze neurocognitive function between the 2 groups (NCACL and control). The level of significance was set at $P = .05$. All analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 11.1 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, Ill).

RESULTS

Statistical differences were found between the NCACL-injury group and the matched controls on all 4 neurocognitive subtests. The NCACL-injured athletes had slower reaction times ($F_{1,158} = 9.66, P = .002$) and slower processing speeds ($F_{1,158} = 12.04, P = .001$) and performed significantly worse on visual memory ($F_{1,158} = 19.16, P = .000$) and verbal memory ($F_{1,158} = 4.08, P = .045$) composite scores compared with controls (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

This is the first study to examine the relationship between decreased neurocognitive performance and NCACL injuries. Athletes who suffered NCACL injuries had significantly slower reaction times and processing speeds, as well as lower visual and verbal memory scores during their preseason tests. Noncontact ACL injuries are associated with errors in coordination,^{1,10,13,14,30,33,57,58,62} and the neurocognitive differences identified in our study may predispose certain individuals to noncontact injuries.

Previous literature suggests these neurocognitive characteristics can have an important role in coordination, sports performance, and injury.²⁶ In comparison with normative values published for this age group, our observed scores ranged from low average to average.²⁶ The decreased performance by the NCACL group would be characterized as "diminished" but did not reach a level necessary to classify these subjects as having a neurocognitive "impairment."^{1,10,13} However, these deficits warrant discussion regarding their possible relationship with the loss of neuromuscular control and noncontact injury mechanisms.

Physical activity requires situational awareness of a broad attentional field to continuously monitor the surrounding environment, filter irrelevant information, and simultaneously execute complex motor programs.^{34,46,61} Increased arousal or anxiety changes an athlete's concentration, narrows their attentional field, and alters muscle activity, which has been associated with poor coordination and inferior performance.^{9,14} The amount and speed of neural processing required for a task can be measured using reaction time.³⁰ Athletes generally have better reaction times, but delays can occur when the number of response alternatives increases.^{1,14,54} Also, reaction times are slower when memory retention and fine motor tasks are performed at the same time as walking³³ or in combination with auditory stimuli.^{9,50,60,62} A common example used to demonstrate how decreased reaction time contributes to poor performance involves the slowed reactions of distracted drivers during automobile accidents.^{57,58} In an athletic population, our results agree with previous research suggesting that mental abilities and slowed reaction time may be linked with general musculoskeletal complaints.^{10,19,21,31,62} Although the reaction time deficits recorded in this study do not meet established criteria to suggest NCACL subjects were impaired, attempting to execute complex athletic maneuvers in a rapidly changing sport environment can slow reaction time or processing speed and compromise motor performance.^{59,62} Mild deficits in reaction time and processing speed could make individuals more susceptible to brief errors in judgment or loss of coordination when confronted with complex environmental cues during athletic competition. This task uncertainty or hesitation has been shown to attenuate muscle activity, which would compromise dynamic restraint and increase the risk of NCACL injury.^{2,10,13,15,33,38,43}

The decreased visual and verbal memory composite scores of NCACL subjects may also be associated with mistakes in coordination.^{2,10,13} The selection process determining which of the many stimuli in a complex environment requires immediate attention involves mechanisms for learning and memory.³⁸ The region of the visual field from which a person chooses to process information is determined by visual attention.¹³ Athletes with decreased visual attention skills may have difficulty interpreting and negotiating conflicting information when challenged with unanticipated events. Lacking the capacity to quickly redirect attention from one location to the next or distribute attention across multiple locations will decrease an individual's visual-spatial orientation.^{7,29,32,33,48,50} This state is frequently described as spatial disorientation or a loss of situational awareness.⁵⁹ The momentary disorientation can disrupt execution of routine motor programs or alter muscle activity, effectively diminishing the dynamic restraint capabilities.

Several limitations to this study should be acknowledged before considering the potential prognostic value of these results. These results show small but statistically significant differences between the NCACL and control groups on all 4 neurocognitive subtests. It is important to note that these differences were found retrospectively on

baseline preseason testing, and participants were assigned to the control group randomly from a larger pool of college athletes. Athletes' scores from both groups were consistent with nationally published normative data, suggesting that there was no systematic error attributable to our selection methods. However, athletes in the NCACL group consistently scored worse than controls. Previous knee and head injury information for subject exclusion was collected by self-report and could have caused selection bias. Furthermore, one weakness of case control studies is the selection of a comparison group. While matching variables and random selection were included to minimize this bias, the results of this study should be viewed with caution until more prospective observations can be reported.

CONCLUSION

Differences were found in all 4 neurocognitive subtests between NCACL-injured subjects and uninjured controls. Decreased reaction times, processing speed, or visual spatial disorientation may expose athletes to injurious situations by disrupting the neuromuscular control necessary for dynamic restraint. These findings should be regarded with caution because specific injury mechanisms affected by neurocognitive deficits are still unclear and require speculation until further investigations can be completed. The interaction between reflexive pathways and cortical control should be explored before deficits in higher brain center neurocognitive function can be clearly linked to dynamic restraint mechanisms and outcome performance on athletic maneuvers. This is the first study to link neurocognitive function to NCACL injury and may present an alternative application for neurocognitive testing in the area of injury prevention.

REFERENCES

- Allard F, Burnett N. Skill in sport. *Can J Psychol.* 1985;39:294-312.
- Baddeley A. *Working Memory.* New York: Oxford University Press; 1986.
- Barth J, Alves W, Ryan T, et al. Mild head injury in sports: neuropsychological sequelae and recovery of function. In: Levin HS, Benton AL, eds. *Mild Head Injury.* New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1989:259-261, 268-271.
- Beck J, Wildermuth BP. The female athlete's knee. *Clin Sports Med.* 1985;4:345-366.
- Blyn N, Sinnott P. Variations in balance and body sway in middle-aged adults. *Spine.* 1991;16:325-330.
- Boden BP, Dean GS, Feagin JA Jr, Garrett WE Jr. Mechanisms of anterior cruciate ligament injury. *Orthopedics.* 2000;23:573-578.
- Carretta TR, Pery DC Jr, Ree MJ. Prediction of situational awareness in F-15 pilots. *Int J Aviat Psychol.* 1996;6:21-41.
- Collins MW, Lovell MR, McKeag DB. Current issues in managing sports-related concussion. *JAMA.* 1999;282:2283-2285.
- Consiglio W, Driscoll P, Witte M, Berg WP. Effect of cellular telephone conversations and other potential interference on reaction time in a braking response. *Accid Anal Prev.* 2003;35:495-500.
- Dault MC, Frank JS, Allard F. Influence of a visuo-spatial, verbal, and central executive working memory task on postural control. *Gait Posture.* 2001;14:110-116.
- De Fabio R, Badke M. Stance duration under sensory conflict conditions in patients with hemiplegia. *Arch Phys Med Rehab.* 1991;72:292-295.
- DeMont RG, Lephart SM, Giraldo JL, Swanik CB, Fu FH. Muscle pre-activity of anterior cruciate ligament-deficient and -reconstructed females during functional activities. *J Athl Train.* 1999;34:115-120.
- Desimone R, Duncan J. Neural mechanisms of selective visual attention. *Annu Rev Neurosci.* 1995;18:193-222.
- Ebersbach G, Dimitrijevic MR, Poewe W. Influence of concurrent tasks on gait: a dual-task approach. *Percept Mot Skills.* 1995;81:107-113.
- Fahy F, Riches I, Brown M. Neuronal signals of importance to the performance of visual recognition memory tasks: evidence from recordings of single neurons in the medial thalamus of primates. *Prog Brain Res.* 1993;95:401-416.
- Fleming BC, Ohlen G, Renstrom PA, Peura GD, Beynon BD, Badger GJ. The effects of compressive load and knee joint torque on peak anterior cruciate ligament strains. *Am J Sports Med.* 2003;31:701-707.
- Forssberg H, Nashner L. Ontogenetic development of postural control in man: adaptations to altered support and visual conditions during stance. *J Neurosci.* 1982;5:545-552.
- Ghez C, Krakauer J. The organisation of movement. In: Kandel ER, Schwartz JH, Jessell TM, eds. *Principles of Neural Science.* 4th ed. New York, NY: Elsevier Science Publishing; 1991:653-673.
- Ghez C, Krakauer J. Voluntary movement. In: Kandel ER, Schwartz JH, Jessell TM, eds. *Principles of Neural Science.* 4th ed. New York, NY: Elsevier Science Publishing; 1991:756-781.
- Griffin LY, Agel J, Albohm MJ, et al. Noncontact anterior cruciate ligament injuries: risk factors and prevention strategies. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2000;8:141-150.
- Hamstra-Wright KL, Swanik CB, Sittler MR, et al. Gender comparisons of dynamic restraint and motor skill in children. *Clin J Sport Med.* 2006;16:56-62.
- Hewett TE, Myer GD, Ford KR. Anterior cruciate ligament injuries in female athletes: part 1, mechanisms and risk factors. *Am J Sports Med.* 2006;34:299-311.
- Iverson G, Lovell MR, Collins MW. Interpreting change on ImPACT following sport concussion. *Clin Neuropsychol.* 2003;17:460-467.
- Iverson G, Lovell M, Collins M. Validity of ImPACT for measuring processing speed following sports-related concussion. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol.* 2005;27:683-689.
- Iverson GL, Franzen MD, Lovell MR, Collins MW. Construct validity of computerized neuropsychological screening in athletes with concussion. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol.* 2004;19:961-962.
- Iverson GL, Lovell M, Collins M. *Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing (ImPACT): Normative Data.* Pittsburgh, Pa: University of Pittsburgh; 2003:3-4, 12.
- Iverson GL, Lovell MR, Collins MW, Norwig J. Tracking recovery from concussion using ImPACT: applying reliable change methodology. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol.* 2002;17:770.
- Johansson H, Sjolander P, Sojka P. A sensory role for the cruciate ligaments. *Clin Orthop.* 1991;268:161-178.
- Jones DG, Endsley MR. Sources of situation awareness errors in aviation. *Aviat Space Environ Med.* 1996;67:507-512.
- Kandell E, Schwartz J, Jessel T. *Principles of Neural Science.* 4th ed. New York, NY: Elsevier Science; 2000.
- Kirkendall D, Garrett WE Jr. The anterior cruciate ligament enigma. Injury mechanisms and prevention. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2000;372:64-68.
- Knapp CJ, Johnson R. F-16 Class A mishaps in the U.S. Air Force, 1975-93. *Aviat Space Environ Med.* 1996;67:777-783.
- Lajoie Y, Teasdale N, Bard C, Fleury M. Attentional demands for static and dynamic equilibrium. *Exp Brain Res.* 1993;97:139-144.
- Landers DM, Wang MQ, Courtet P. Peripheral narrowing among experienced and inexperienced rifle shooters under low- and high-stress conditions. *Res Q.* 1985;56:122-130.
- Lovell MR, Collins MW, Fu FH, et al. Neuropsychologic testing in sports: past, present, and future. *Br J Sports Med.* 2001;35:367.
- Lovell MR, Collins MW, Iverson GL, et al. Recovery from mild concussion in high school athletes. *J Neurosurg.* 2003;98:296-301.
- Lovell MR, Iverson GL, Collins MW, McKeag D, Maroon JC. Does loss of consciousness predict neuropsychological decrements after concussion? *Clin J Sport Med.* 1999;9:193-198.

38. Lum J, Enns JT, Pratt J. Visual orienting in college athletes: explorations of athlete type and gender. *Res Q Exerc Sport*. 2002;73:156-167.
39. Macciocchi SN, Barth JT, Alves W, Rimel RW, Jane JA. Neuropsychological functioning and recovery after mild head injury in collegiate athletes. *Neurosurgery*. 1996;39:510-514.
40. Maroon JC, Lovell MR, Norwig J, Podell K, Powell JW, Hartl R. Cerebral concussion in athletes: evaluation and neuropsychological testing. *Neurosurgery*. 2000;47:659-669; discussion 669-672.
41. McClay Davis I, Ireland ML. ACL research retreat: the gender bias. *Clin Biomech (Bristol, Avon)*. 2001;16:937-959.
42. McNair PJ, Prapavessis H. Normative data of vertical ground reaction forces during landing from a jump. *J Sci Med Sport*. 1999;2:86-88.
43. Miller E, Li L, Desimone R, Duncan J. A neural mechanism for working and recognition memory in inferior temporal cortex. *Science*. 1991;254(5036):1377-1379.
44. Moser RS, Schatz P, Jordan BD. Prolonged effects of concussion in high school athletes. *Neurosurgery*. 2005;57:300-306.
45. Myklebust G, Maehlum S, Holm I, Bahr R. A prospective cohort study of anterior cruciate ligament injuries in elite Norwegian team handball. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 1998;8:149-153.
46. Nideffer RM. Comparison of self-report and performance measures of attention: a second look. *Percept Mot Skills*. 1977;45:1291-1294.
47. Noyes FR, Mooar PA, Matthews DS, Butler DL. The symptomatic anterior cruciate-deficient knee. Part I: the long-term functional disability in athletically active individuals. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 1983;65:154-162.
48. O'Hare D. Cognitive ability determinants of elite pilot performance. *Hum Factors*. 1997;39:540-552.
49. Olsen OE, Myklebust G, Engebretsen L, Bahr R. Injury mechanisms for anterior cruciate ligament injuries in team handball: a systematic video analysis. *Am J Sports Med*. 2004;32:1002-1012.
50. Peters GA, Peters BJ. The distracted driver. *J R Soc Health*. 2001;121:23-28.
51. Schatz P, Putz B. Cross-validation of measures used for computer-based assessment of concussion. *Appl Neuropsychol*. 2006;13:151-159.
52. Schatz P, Zillmer EA. Computer-based assessment of sports-related concussion. *Appl Neuropsychol*. 2003;10:42-47.
53. Spreen O, Strauss E. *A Compendium of Neuropsychological Tests: Administration, Norms, and Commentary*. 2nd ed. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1998.
54. Sternberg S. The discovery of processing stages. *Acta Psychol*. 1969;30:276-315.
55. Swanic C, Lephart SM, Giraldo JL, DeMont RG, Fu FH. Reactive muscle firing of anterior cruciate ligament-injured females during functional activities. *J Athl Train*. 1999;34:121-129.
56. Swanic CB, Lephart SM, Giannantonio FP, Fu FH. Reestablishing proprioception and neuromuscular control in the ACL-injured athlete. *J Sports Rehabil*. 1997;6:182-206.
57. Taimela S. Relation between speed of reaction and psychometric tests of mental ability in musculoskeletal injury-prone subjects. *Percept Mot Skills*. 1990;70:155-161.
58. Taimela S, Kujala UM. Reaction times with reference to musculoskeletal complaints in adolescence. *Percept Mot Skills*. 1992;75:1075-1082.
59. Thompson HW, Mckinley P. Landing from a jump: the role of vision when landing from known and unknown heights. *Neuroreport*. 1995;6:582-584.
60. van Zomeren AH, Brouwer WH, Minderhoud JM. Acquired brain damage and driving: a review. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1987;68:697-705.
61. Weinberg R, Hunt V. Effects of structural integration on state-trait anxiety. *J Clin Psychol*. 1979;35:319-322.
62. Woo E, Burns Y, Johnston L. The effect of task uncertainty on muscle activation patterns in 8-10-year-old children. *Physiother Res Int*. 2003;8:143-154.